

Optimization Techniques

Lecture 8

2. Problems with equality and inequality constraints

KKT conditions

3. Problems with nonnegativity constraints

2. Problems with equality and inequality constraints – KKT Multipliers

- Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Min } f(x) \\ & \text{s.t. } g_i(x)=0 \quad i=1,2,\dots,k \leq n \\ & \quad g_j(x) \leq 0 \quad j=k+1,k+2,\dots,N \end{aligned}$$

- where $f(x)$ and $g_i(x)$ are continuously differentiable functions in R^n .

For the above general problem, we adopt the following definitions:

Definition: An inequality constraint $g_j(x) \leq 0$ is said to be **active** at x^* if $g_j(x^*) = 0$. It is inactive at x^* if $g_j(x^*) < 0$.

By convention, we consider an equality constraint to be always active.

Definition: Let x^* satisfies $g_i(x^*) = 0$ for each i and $g_j(x^*) \leq 0$. And let $J(x^*)$ be the index set of active inequality constraints, that is,

$$J(x^*) = \{j \in \{1, \dots, N\} \mid g_j(x^*) = 0\}$$

We again assumed that the vectors in

$$\{\nabla g_i, i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, \nabla g_j, j \in J(x^*)\}$$

are linearly independent.

First order necessary condition (Karush – Kuhn – Tucker (KKT) Condition)

- f, g_i and g_j are continuously differentiable functions in \mathbb{R}^n .
Let x^* be a minimizer for the problem of minimizing f subject to $g_i(x) = 0$ and $g_j(x) \leq 0$. Then the Lagrangian of the problem is:

$$L(x^*, \lambda, \mu) = f(x^*) + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i g_i}_{=0} + \sum_{j=k+1}^N \mu_j g_j$$

$$\text{If } \mu_j \cdot g_j = 0 \Rightarrow L(x^*, \lambda, \mu) = f(x^*)$$

$$\mu_j \cdot g_j = 0 \Rightarrow \text{a) } g_j(x^*) = 0. \text{ } g_j \text{ is active}$$

$$\text{b) } \mu_j = 0 \Rightarrow g_j \leq 0.$$

FONC:

$$\nabla L = \nabla f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \nabla g_i(x^*) + \sum_{j=k+1}^N \mu_j \nabla g_j(x^*) = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$g_i(x^*) = 0 \quad ; \quad i = 1, \dots, k \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$g_j(x^*) \leq 0 \quad ; \quad j = k+1, \dots, N \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$\mu_j \cdot g_j(x^*) = 0 \quad , \quad j = k+1, \dots, N \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

$$\mu_j \geq 0 \quad , \quad \forall j \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

Observe that λ_i values are free for all i , but μ_j values are non-negative for all j .

- (1) n equations
 (2) k "
 (3) $N - k$ inequalities.
 (4) $N - k$ equalities.
 (5) $N - k$ inequalities.
- $n + N$
 equations.

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$: n variables.

$\lambda_i (i=1, \dots, k)$: k Lagrange multipliers

$\mu_j (j=k+1, \dots, N)$: $N - k$ KKT multipliers.

We search for all points satisfying (1)-(5), and treat them as candidate extremizers.

Second Order Conditions

We assume that $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ are twice continuously differentiable functions in \mathbb{R}^n , the set

$$\{\nabla g_i(x), i = 1, 2, \dots, k; \nabla g_j(x), j \in J(x^*)\}$$

is linearly independent, the feasible direction set is defined as:

$$H(x) = \{h \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \nabla g_i(x)^T h = 0; \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k; \nabla g_j(x)^T h = 0; \forall j \in J(x^*)\}.$$

Then the second order (sufficient) condition for a local **strict minimizer** is that $\nabla^2 L(x^*, \lambda)$ with respect to x is **positive definite** over $H(x^*)$.

Then the SOSC for a local **strict maximizer** is that $\nabla^2 L(x^*, \lambda)$ with respect to x is **negative definite** over $H(x^*)$.

Example: Find the extremum points of the below problem.

$$\min f(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad x_2 - x_1 = 1$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \leq 2$$

3. Problems with nonnegativity constraints

- Consider the following problem:

$$\text{Min } f(x)$$

$$\text{subject to } x_i \geq 0 ; i=1,2,\dots,n.$$

where $f(x)$ is continuously differentiable functions in R^n . With the following arrangement

$$g_i(x): -x_i \leq 0 ; i=1,2,\dots,n$$

the above problem can be converted to the following form which is a problem with inequality constraints (Title 2)

$$\text{Min } f(x)$$

$$\text{s.t. } g_i(x) \leq 0 ; i=1,2,\dots,n$$

- For the above problem, we adapt the FONC and SOSC in title 2: 11

Observe that $L(x, \mu) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i g_i(x)$

FONC:

$$\nabla L = \nabla f + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \nabla g_i = 0 \dots (1)$$

$$g_i(x) \leq 0 \dots (2)$$

$$\mu_i \cdot g_i(x) = 0 \dots (3)$$

$$\mu_i \geq 0 \dots (4)$$

$$g_i(x) = -x_i \quad ; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\frac{\partial(-x_i)}{\partial x_i} = -1 \quad ; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\frac{\partial(-x_i)}{\partial x_j} = 0 \quad ; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad ; \quad j \neq i$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} - \mu_i = 0 \quad \dots (1) \quad \forall i.$$

$$\mu_i \cdot g_i(x) = 0 \implies \mu_i (-x_i) = 0 \implies \mu_i \cdot x_i = 0$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$
(2)

$$g_i(x) \leq 0 \implies x_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

$$\mu_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (4)$$

We search for points satisfying (1)-(4), and treat these points as candidate extremizers.

$$(1) \quad \mu_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$$

Considering the above equality, the last form of FONC can be constructed as follows:

FONC:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \geq 0 \quad ; i=1,2,\dots,n \quad \dots (5)$$

n inequality

$$x_i \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad ; i=1,2,\dots,n \quad \dots (6)$$

n equality

$$x_i \geq 0 \quad ; i=1,2,\dots,n \quad \dots (7)$$

n inequality

- We have n equations to find n unknowns (n variables x in \mathbb{R}^n). Observe that the candidate points must satisfy (5) and (7).

SOSC:

$$\nabla L \doteq \nabla f(x) - \mu_i \mathbb{I}.$$

$$\mathbb{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$\nabla^2 L = \nabla^2 f(x) \implies$ both f have the same

Hessian.

$$\nabla^2 L(x^*, \mu) = \nabla^2 f(x^*) = H(x^*).$$

Then the SOSC for a local **strict minimizer** is that $\nabla^2 L(x^*, \mu)$ with respect to x is **positive definite** over $H(x^*)$.

Example: Find the extremum points of the below problem.

$$\begin{aligned} \min f(x, y, z) &= 2x^2 + 3y^2 + z^2 - 2xy + 2xz - 2x - 4z \\ \text{s.t. } x &\geq 0, y \geq 0, z \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Example: Find the extremum points of the below problem.

$$f(x) = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2 - 6x_1 - 14x_2$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 2$$

$$-x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 3$$

$$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0$$